

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRS		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/742,955	12/20/2000	Tammara Combs	80994DMW	8704
75	90 03/18/2004	EXAMINER		
Thomas H. Cle	ose	SAX, STEV	SAX, STEVEN PAUL	
Patent Legal Sta	aff			
Eastman Kodak	Company	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
343 State Street		2174	<u> </u>	
Rochester, NY	14650-2201	DATE MAILED: 03/18/2004	κ	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary			Application No.	Applicant(s)			
			09/742,955	COMBS ET AL.	<u> </u>		
		-	Examiner	Art Unit			
			Steven P Sax	2174			
The M. Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this communic	cati n appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	ne c rresp ndence ad	dress		
THE MAILING - Extensions of tin after SIX (6) MO - If the period for In Failure to reply we have reply received.	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FO B DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO ne may be available under the provisions of NTHS from the mailing date of this commu- reply specified above is less than thirty (30) reply is specified above, the maximum stat- within the set or extended period for reply we ded by the Office later than three months after an adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION.  of 37 CFR 1.136  inication.  of days, a reply vutory period will  cill, by statute, of	(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) I apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ause the application to become ABAND	pe timely filed  I days will be considered timely from the mailing date of this co	r. ommunication.		
Status							
1)⊠ Respon	nsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>18 Dec</i>	cember 2003				
	<u> </u>						
<i>'</i> —	<u></u>						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of C	laims						
4a) Of th 5) ☐ Claim(s 6) ☑ Claim(s 7) ☐ Claim(s	is) 1-30 is/are pending in the application above claim(s) is/are is/are allowed. is) 1-30 is/are rejected. is) 1-30 is/are objected to. is/are subject to restriction	withdrawi					
Application Pape	ers						
10)∭ The draw Applican Replace	cification is objected to by the wing(s) filed on is/are: at may not request that any object ment drawing sheet(s) including to or declaration is objected to	a)⊡ acception to the drain to the correction	oted or b) objected to by the awing(s) be held in abeyance.  In is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CF			
Priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	ledgment is made of a claim for colon some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority description of the copies of the priority description of the certified copies of the priority description of the certified copies of pplication from the Internation attached detailed Office action	ocuments ocuments f the priorit al Bureau	have been received. have been received in Applic y documents have been rece (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National s	Stage		
Attachment(s)							
	ences Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summ	nary (PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notice of Drafts	person's Patent Drawing Review (PT closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P		Paper No(s)/Ma	il Date al Patent Application (PTO	-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/742955 Page 2

Art Unit: 2174

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This application has been examined. The response filed 12/18/03 has been entered.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cohen et al (6222937) and Schmitt (5983220).
- 4. Regarding claim 1, Cohen et al show navigating pictures (column 2 lines 20-45), providing first, second, and third dimensions representing first, second, and third characteristics of grouped pictures (Figure 7, Figure 21, column 5 lines 5-35, column 7 lines 39-60, column 18 lines 35-50), and providing in a scatter plot a plurality of pictures according to each dimension along each axis (Figure 7, column 7 lines 39-60). The third dimension is evidenced by distinct visual characteristics of the icons (shading, Figure 7). Cohen et al do not specifically show how the pictures are retrieved from a

Application/Control Number: 09/742955 Page 3

Art Unit: 2174

database, where each icon represents a group of pictures in a database, but Schmitt shows this (abstract, Figure 16, 23, column 2 lines 35-65) to navigate pictures. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to have this in Cohen et al, because it would provide a convenient way to navigate pictures.

- 5. Regarding claim 2, Schmitt shows that the dimensions along the display for the icons are determined by metadata stored with the picture data (Figures 3, 9). It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to have this in Cohen et al, because it would provide a convenient way to navigate pictures along axes.
- 6. Regarding claim 3, those metadata in Schmitt are configurable by a user to represent specific characteristics and attributes (colum 4 lines 25-52). This is part of the feature in which the obviousness to combine follows as above.
- 7. Regarding claim 4, the dimensions are linked and changes to one affect the others (Cohen et al Figure 33, column 16 lines 20-57).
- 8. Regarding claim 5, the groups of pictures are pictures in a common strip of film (Cohen et al column 4 lines 5-15, column 5 lines 1-14, different shots taken of the same object, also column 7 lines 30-40).

Application/Control Number: 09/742955 Page 4

Art Unit: 2174

9. Regarding claim 6, the third dimension is evidenced by shading/color differences (Cohen et al Figure 7).

- 10. Regarding claim 7, the gradations are determinable by a user (Cohen et al Figures 7, 9A-E, column 7 lines 15-25).
- 11. Regarding claim 8, neither Cohen et al nor Schmitt show identifiable persons, but Official Notice is taken that this is possible. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to have identifiable persons as pictures in Cohen et al, because it would be a convenient attribute or characteristic to use.
- 12. Regarding claim 9, Cohen et al show the characteristics show an identifiable object (column 4 lines 5-15, same identifiable object).
- 13. Regarding claim 10, Schmitt shows identifiable events (Figure 26, car feature selection). It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to have this in the pictures of Cohen et al, because it would be a convenient attribute or characteristic to use.

Application/Control Number: 09/742955

Page 5

Art Unit: 2174

14. Regarding claim 11, the pictures characteristics in Cohen et al include temporal information (colum 6 lines 5-19).

- 15. Regarding claims 12-13, the date or time of day of capture is not specifically mentioned in Cohen et al or Schmitt, but Official Notice is taken that this is possible. it would have been obvious to a person with ordinary sill in the art to have this, because it would provide a convenient way to obtain temporal information.
- 16. Regarding claim 14, the characteistics include identifiable capture locations (column 7 lines 15-47, column 25 lines 5-36).
- Regarding claim 15, the characteristics include explanatory comments (Schmitt Figure 16). It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to include this in Cohen et al, because it would provide a convenient way to navigate pictures.
- 18. Claims 16-30 show the same features as claims 1-15 respectively and are rejected for the same reasons.
- 19. Applicant's arguments filed 12/18/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regardless of whether the pictures are of the same image or not, Cohen et al do in fact show the group of pictures. Cohen et al further in fact show navigating among the multiple pictures. The functionality in fact shows that. The three dimensions are in fact different characteristics as shown. The multidimensional database of the pictures in fact satisifies what is claimed. The rectangular shapes in fact are images

Application/Control Number: 09/742,955 Page 3

Art Unit: 2174

and form the scatter plot. Navigation is common in the art as is the retrieving mechanisms, and the motivation to combine Cohen et al and Schmitt is valid to provide a convenient way to navigate pictures.

20. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension-fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

21. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven P Sax whose telephone number is 703-305-9582. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid can be reached on 703-308-0640. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/742,955

Art Unit: 2174

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

\*\*\*